

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/818,081	03/26/2001	Shawn R. Getterny	PALM-3628.US.P	9783
75	590 06/27/2006		EXAM	INER
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			NGUYEN, KEVIN M	
Two North Market Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			2629	

DATE MAILED: 06/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/818,081	GETTEMY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	L. nely filed the mailing date of this communication.			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Ap</u>	oril 2006				
	action is non-final.				
· <u></u>	,				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
· <u> </u>					
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
are subject to rection and/or	olookon roquilomoni.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/818,081

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This communication is responsive to the applicant's amendment filed 04/27/2006. Independent claims 1, 13, 19 and 25 are amended. Thus, claims 1-29 are currently pending in the application.
- 2. Response to the claim objection is persuasive; the objection of claims 1 and 25 is withdrawn.
- 3. Response to amendment and argument are not persuasive; the amendment necessitates a new ground of a rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Especially, claims 1, 13, 19 and 25 recite the term "a permanent pixel border...comprising a plurality of pixels" in lines 8-11 of claim 1, in lines 9-12 of claim

Art Unit: 2629

13, in lines 13-16 of claim 19, and the term "a pixel border...comprising a plurality of pixels" in lines 9-10 of claim 25.

Page 3

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "According to FIG. 9, the row and column drivers used for the pixel border do not sequentially scan in one embodiment. In the embodiment discussed above where conventional drivers are available to drive the border pixels, then in this case, row and column drivers used for the pixel border could sequentially scan. The 2x row drivers 450a-450d of the pixel border region 312 are coupled to a threshold voltage driver 430b which provides a constant common voltage level (Vth2) when in the on state. Likewise, the 2x column drivers 410a-410d of the pixel border region 312 are coupled to a threshold voltage driver 430a which provides a constant common voltage level (Vth1) when in the on state. The difference between these threshold voltage levels comprises a threshold voltage (V2). The voltage V2, or a greater amount, is common to and applied to all pixels of the border region 312 uniformly when in the on state. The difference between these threshold voltage levels comprises a threshold voltage (V1). The voltage V1, or less, is common to and applied to all pixels of the border region 312 uniformly when in the OFF state." See page 22, line 22—page 23, line 9. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

This limitation contains various inconsistencies and/or ambiguities so that the pixels border region of a passive LCD device is unable to work. The pixels border region of the passive LCD device would not be made a product.

The working LCD device configured the pixels border regions/portions that form a digitized image may or may not be in one-to-one correspondence with screen pixels border regions/portions depending on how a computer controls the pixels on and off.

A **pixel** is one of the many tiny *dots* that make up the representation of a picture/image. A pixel is generally thought of as the smallest complete sample of an image¹. How does only a pixel/a tiny pixel construct pixels border region/portions surrounding the passive LCD screen? How does a permanent pixel or a pixel...comprising a plurality of pixels?

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 04/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues features in the amended claims 1, 13, 19 and 25 that are newly recited. Thus, the new ground of rejection has been moot. See rejection indicated above. For these reasons, the rejection has been maintained.

¹ Please see http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pixel for further details of the definition of the term "pixel."

Application/Control Number: 09/818,081 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2629

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-7697. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THU from 8:00-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, a supervisor RICHARD A. HJERPE can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the Patent Application Information Retrieval system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KMN June 22, 2006 Kevin M. Nguyen Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2629

RICHARD HJERPE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600